arXiv:1412.0216v2 [math.NA] 14 Apr 2015

FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATIONS OF SYMMETRIC TENSORS ON SIMPLICIAL GRIDS IN $\mathbb{R}^n$: THE LOWER ORDER CASE

JUN HU AND SHANGYOU ZHANG

Abstract. In this paper, we construct, in a unified fashion, lower order finite element subspaces of spaces of symmetric tensors with square-integrable divergence on a domain in any dimension. These subspaces are essentially the symmetric $H(\mathrm{div}) - P_k$ $(1 \le k \le n)$ tensor spaces, enriched, for each $n-1$ dimensional simplex, by $\frac{(n+1)n}{2}$ $H(\mathrm{div}) - P_{n+1}$ bubble functions when $1 \le k \le n-1$, and by $\frac{(n-1)n}{2}$ $H(\mathrm{div}) - P_{n+1}$ bubble functions when $k = n$. These spaces can be used to approximate the symmetric matrix field in a mixed formulation problem where the other variable is approximated by discontinuous piecewise P_{k-1} polynomials. This in particular leads to first order mixed elements on simplicial grids with total degrees of freedom per element 18 plus 3 in 2D, 48 plus 6 in 3D. The previous record of the degrees of freedom of first order mixed elements is, 21 plus 3 in 2D, and 156 plus 6 in 3D, on simplicial grids. We also derive, in a unified way and without using any tools like differential forms, a family of auxiliary mixed finite elements in any dimension. One example in this family is the Raviart-Thomas elements in one dimension, the second example is the mixed finite elements for linear elasticity in two dimensions due to Arnold and Winther, the third example is the mixed finite elements for linear elasticity in three dimensions due to Arnold, Awanou and Winther.

Keywords. mixed finite element, symmetric finite element, first order system, conforming finite element, simplicial grid, inf-sup condition.

AMS subject classifications. 65N30, 73C02.

1. Introduction

The constructions, using polynomial shape functions, of stable pairs of finite element spaces for approximating the pair of spaces $H(\mathrm{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}) \times L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ in first order systems are a long-standing, challenging and open problem, see [4, 6]. For mixed finite elements of linear elasticity, many mathematicians have been working on this problem and compromised to weakly symmetric or composite elements, cf. [3, 7, 8, 32, 34, 36, 37, 38]. It is not until 2002 that Arnold and Winther were able to propose the first family of mixed finite element spaces with polynomial shape functions in two dimensions [10]. Such a two dimensional family was extended to a three dimensional family of mixed elements [6], while the lowest order element with $k = 2$ was first proposed in [2]. We refer interested readers to [2, 5, 6, 10, 12, 18,

The first author was supported by the NSFC Projects 11271035, 91430213 and 11421101.

11, 22, 27, 33, 39, 40, 9, 13, 19, 23, 24, 29, 28], for recent progress on mixed finite elements for linear elasticity.

In very recent papers [30] and [31], Hu and Zhang attacked this open and challenging problem by initially proposing new ideas to design discrete stress spaces and analyze the discrete inf-sup condition. In particular, they were able to construct suitable $H(\mathrm{div},\Omega;\mathbb{S})-P_k$ space, with $k\geq 3$ for 2D, and $k\geq 4$ for 3D, finite element spaces for the stress discretization in both two and three dimensions. In [26], Hu constructed, in a unified fashion, suitable $H(\mathrm{div},\Omega;\mathbb{S})-P_k$ space with $k\geq n+1$, and proposed a set of degrees of freedom for the shape function space, in any dimension.

The purpose of this paper is to extend those elements in [26] to lower order cases where $1\leq k\leq n$. Since it is, at moment, very difficult to prove that the pair of $H(\mathrm{div})-P_k$ and L^2-P_{k-1} spaces is stable, the $H(\mathrm{div})-P_k$ space has to be enriched by some higher order polynomials whose divergence are in P_{k-1}. Thanks to [26], it suffices to control the piecewise rigid motion space. Hence, we only need to add, for each $n-1$ dimensional simplex, $\frac{(n+1)n}{2}$ $H(\mathrm{div})-P_{n+1}$ bubble functions when $2\leq k\leq n-1$, and $\frac{(n-1)n}{2}$ $H(\mathrm{div})-P_{n+1}$ bubble functions when $k=n$. This in particular leads to first order mixed elements on simplicial grids with total degrees of freedom per element 18 plus 3 in 2D, 48 plus 6 in 3D. The previous record of the degrees of freedom of first order mixed elements is, 21 plus 3 in 2D, and 156 plus 6 in 3D, on simplicial grids. These enriched bubble functions belong to the lowest order space from a family of auxiliary discrete stress spaces which, together with the P_{k-1} space, form a stable pair of spaces for first order systems. Note that these spaces in this auxiliary family are constructed in a unified and direct way and that no tools like differential forms are used. One example in this auxiliary family is the Raviart–Thomas elements in one dimension, the second example is the mixed finite elements for linear elasticity in two dimensions due to Arnold and Winther [10], the third example is the mixed finite elements for linear elasticity in three dimensions due to Arnold, Awanou and Winther [6].

We end this section by introducing first order systems and related notations. We consider mixed finite element methods of first order systems with symmetric tensors: Find $(\sigma,u)\in\Sigma\times V:=H(\mathrm{div},\Omega;\mathbb{S})\times L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that

$$\begin{cases}(A\sigma,\tau)+(\mathrm{div}\tau,u)=0 & \text{for all } \tau\in\Sigma,\\ (\mathrm{div}\sigma,v)=(f,v) & \text{for all } v\in V.\end{cases}\tag{1.1}$$

Here the symmetric tensor space for the stress Σ is defined by

$$H(\mathrm{div},\Omega;\mathbb{S}):=\Big\{\tau=\begin{pmatrix}\tau_{11}&\cdots&\tau_{1n}\\ \vdots&\vdots&\vdots\\ \tau_{n1}&\cdots&\tau_{nn}\end{pmatrix}\in H(\mathrm{div},\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})\ \Big|\ \tau^T=\tau\Big\},\tag{1.2}$$

and the space for the vector displacement V is

$$L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n):=\Big\{\begin{pmatrix}u_1,&\cdots,&u_n\end{pmatrix}^T\ \Big|\ u_i\in L^2(\Omega), i=1,\cdots,n\Big\}.\tag{1.3}$$

This paper denotes by $H^k(T;X)$ the Sobolev space consisting of functions with domain $T\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, taking values in the finite-dimensional vector space X, and with all derivatives of order at most k square-integrable. For our purposes, the range space X will be either $\mathbb{S}$, $\mathbb{R}^n$, or $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{k,T}$ be the norm of $H^k(T)$, $\mathbb{S}$ denote

the space of symmetric tensors, $H(\mathrm{div}, T; \mathbb{S})$ consist of square-integrable symmetric matrix fields with square-integrable divergence. The $H(\mathrm{div})$ norm is defined by

$$\|\tau\|^2_{H(\mathrm{div},T)} := \|\tau\|^2_{0,T} + \|\mathrm{div}\tau\|^2_{0,T}.$$

$L^2(T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of vector-valued functions which are square-integrable. Here, the compliance tensor $A = A(x) : \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S}$, characterizing the properties of the material, is bounded and symmetric positive definite uniformly for $x \in \Omega$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present some preliminary results from [26]; see also [30] and [31], for the cases $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, respectively. In Section 3, based on these preliminary results, we propose a family of auxiliary mixed finite elements. In Section 4, we present lower order mixed finite elements and analyze the well–posedness of the discrete problem and error estimates of the approximation solution. In Section 5, we present the first order mixed elements. In Section 6, we give a way to construct these added bubble functions for each face in three dimensions. The paper ends with Section 6 which lists some numerics.

2. Preliminary results

Suppose that the domain Ω is subdivided by a family of shape regular simplicial grids $\mathcal{T}_h$ (with the grid size h). We introduce the finite element space of order $k \geq 1$ on $\mathcal{T}_h$.

$$\Sigma_{k,h} := \Big\{\sigma \in H(\mathrm{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}), \sigma|_K \in P_k(K; \mathbb{S}) \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h\Big\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $P_k(K; \mathbb{X})$ denotes the space of polynomials of degree $\leq k$, taking value in the space X.

To define the degrees of freedom for the shape function space $P_k(K; \mathbb{S})$, let $\mathbf{x}_0, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n$ be the vertices of simplex K. The referencing mapping is then

$$\mathbf{x} := F_K(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \big(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_0, \quad \cdots, \quad \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_0\big)\,\hat{\mathbf{x}},$$

mapping the reference tetrahedron $\hat{K} := \{0 \leq \hat{x}_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_n, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{x}_i \leq 1\}$ to K. Then the inverse mapping is

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} := \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \nu_n^T \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \nu_n^T \end{pmatrix} = \big(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_0, \quad \cdots, \quad \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_0\big)^{-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

By (2.2), these normal vectors are coefficients of the barycentric variables:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &:= \nu_1 \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0),\\ &\vdots\\ \lambda_n &:= \nu_n \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0),\\ \lambda_0 &:= 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i.\end{aligned}$$

For any edge $\mathbf{x}_i\mathbf{x}_j$ of element K, $i \neq j$, let $\mathbf{t}_{i,j}$ denote associated tangent vectors, which allow for us to introduce the following symmetric matrices of rank one

$$T_{i,j} := \mathbf{t}_{i,j}\mathbf{t}_{i,j}^T, 0 \leq i < j \leq n. \tag{2.4}$$

For these matrices of rank one, we have the following result from [26]; see also [30] and [31], for the cases $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, respectively.

Lemma 2.1. *The $\frac{(n+1)n}{2}$ symmetric tensors $T_{i,j}$ in (2.4) are linearly independent, and form a basis of $\mathbb{S}$.*

With these symmetric matrices $T_{i,j}$ of rank one, we define a $H(\mathrm{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$ bubble function space

$$\Sigma_{K,k,b} := \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \lambda_i \lambda_j P_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{R}) T_{i,j} \tag{2.5}$$

Define the full $H(\mathrm{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$ bubble function space consisting of polynomials of degree $\leq k$

$$\Sigma_{\partial K,k,0} := \{\tau \in H(\mathrm{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) \cap P_k(K; \mathbb{S}), \tau\nu|_{\partial K} = 0\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Here ν is the normal vector of ∂K.

We have the following result due to [26].

Lemma 2.2. *It holds that*

$$\Sigma_{K,k,b} = \Sigma_{\partial K,k,0}. \tag{2.7}$$

We need an important result concerning the divergence space of the bubble function space. To this end, we introduce the following rigid motion space on each element K.

$$R(K) := \{v \in H^1(K; \mathbb{R}^n), (\nabla v + \nabla v^T)/2 = 0\}. \tag{2.8}$$

It follows from the definition that $R(K)$ is a subspace of $P_1(K; \mathbb{R}^n)$. For $n = 1$, $R(K)$ is the constant function space over K. The dimension of $R(K)$ is $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. For two dimensions, the rigid motion space $R(K)$ is

$$R(K) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}, a_1, a_2, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}; \tag{2.9}$$

for three dimensions, the rigid motion space $R(K)$ reads

$$R(K) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} + b_1 \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b_2 \begin{pmatrix} -x_3 \\ 0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} + b_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -x_3 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, 3 \right\}. \tag{2.10}$$

This allows for defining the orthogonal complement space of $R(K)$ with respect to $P_{k-1}(K;\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$R^{\perp}(K) := \{v \in P_{k-1}(K;\mathbb{R}^n), (v,w)_K = 0 \text{ for any } w \in R(K)\}, \tag{2.11}$$

where the inner product $(v,w)_K$ over K reads $(v,w)_K = \int_K v \cdot w d\mathbf{x}$.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, it holds that*

$$\operatorname{div} \Sigma_{K,k,b} = R^{\perp}(K). \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. The proof can be found in [26]; see also [30] and [31], for the cases $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, respectively. ∎

We need a classical result and its variant.

Lemma 2.4. *It holds the following Chu-Vandermonde combinatorial identity and its variant*

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{n} C_{n+1}^{\ell+1} C_{k-1}^{\ell} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} C_{n+1}^{n-\ell} C_{k-1}^{\ell} = C_{n+k}^{n}, \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{n} C_{n+1}^{\ell+1} C_{k-1}^{\ell} C_{\ell+1}^{2} = \frac{(n+1)n}{2} C_{n+k-2}^{n}, \tag{2.14}$$

where the combinatorial number $C_n^m = \frac{n\cdots(n-m+1)}{m\cdots 1}$ for $n \geq m$ and $C_n^m = 0$ for $n < m$.

3. A family of auxiliary mixed elements in any dimension

3.1. **The lowest order auxiliary mixed elements.** To define lower order mixed finite elements with $k \leq n$, we need a family of auxiliary mixed elements. To this end, we introduce the following divergence free space for element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$,

$$\Sigma_{3\to n+1,DF}(K;\mathbb{S}) := \{\tau \in P_{n+1}(K;\mathbb{S}) \backslash P_2(K;\mathbb{S}), \operatorname{div} \tau = 0\}. \tag{3.1}$$

It is straightforward to see that the dimension of the space $\Sigma_{3\to n+1,DF}(K;\mathbb{S})$ reads

$$\left(\frac{(2n+1)!}{n!(n+1)!} - \frac{(n+2)!}{2!n!}\right)\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\frac{(2n)!}{n!n!} + n(n+1). \tag{3.2}$$

Here $\left(\frac{(2n+1)!}{n!(n+1)!} - \frac{(n+2)!}{2!n!}\right)\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ is the dimension of the space $P_{n+1}(K,\mathbb{S})\backslash P_2(K,\mathbb{S})$, and $n\left(\frac{(2n)!}{n!n!} - (n+1)\right)$ is the number of constraints by the divergence free. Then we can define the following enriched $P_2(K;\mathbb{S})$ space

$$P_2^*(K;\mathbb{S}) := P_2(K;\mathbb{S}) + \Sigma_{3\to n+1,DF}(K;\mathbb{S}). \tag{3.3}$$

It follows that the dimension of $P_2^*(K;\mathbb{S})$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{the dimension of } P_2(K;\mathbb{S}) + \text{ the dimension of } \Sigma_{3\to n+1,DF}(K;\mathbb{S}) \\ &= \frac{(2n+1)!}{n!(n+1)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\frac{(2n)!}{n!n!} + n(n+1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

To present the degrees of freedom of $P_2^*(K;\mathbb{S})$, we define

$$M_2(K) := \{\tau \in P_2^*(K;\mathbb{S}), \operatorname{div} \tau = 0 \text{ and } \tau\nu|_{\partial K} = 0\}, \tag{3.5}$$

where ν is the normal vector of ∂K. For the space $M_2(K)$, we have the following important result.

Lemma 3.1. *The dimension of $M_2(K)$ is*

$$\frac{(2n-1)!}{n!(n-1)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-n\frac{(2n)!}{n!n!}. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. The dimension of the space $\Sigma_{K,n+1,b}$ reads

$$\frac{(2n-1)!}{n!(n-1)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Since the dimension of $R(K)$ is $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, the dimension of $R^{\perp}(K)$ (with respect to $P_n(K,\mathbb{R}^n)$) is

$$n\frac{(2n)!}{n!n!}-\frac{n(n+1)}{2}. \tag{3.8}$$

It follows from the definition of $P_2^*(K;\mathbb{S})$ and Lemma 2.2 that $M_2(K)$ contains all divergence free tensor-value functions of $\Sigma_{K,n+1,b}$. Then the desired result follows from Lemma 2.3. ∎

Theorem 3.1. *A matrix field $\tau\in P_2^*(K;\mathbb{S})$ can be uniquely determined by the following degrees of freedom:*

1. *For each ℓ dimensional simplex $\triangle_\ell$ of K, $0\le\ell\le n-1$, with ℓ linearly independent tangential vectors $\mathbf{t}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{t}_\ell$, and $n-\ell$ linearly independent normal vectors $\nu_1,\cdots,\nu_{n-\ell}$, the mean moments of degree at most $n-\ell$ over $\triangle_\ell$, of $\mathbf{t}_l^T\tau\nu_i$, $\nu_i^T\tau\nu_j$, $l=1,\cdots,\ell$, $i,j=1,\cdots,n-\ell$, $\big(C_{n+1-\ell}^2+\ell(n-\ell)\big)C_n^\ell=\frac{(n-\ell)(n+\ell+1)}{2}C_n^\ell$ degrees of freedom for each $\triangle_\ell$;*
2. *the average of τ over K, $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ degrees of freedom;*
3. *the values of moments $\int_K\tau:\theta d\mathbf{x}$, $\theta\in M_2(K)$, $\frac{(2n-1)!}{n!(n-1)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-n\frac{(2n)!}{n!n!}$ degrees of freedom.*

Proof. We assume that all degrees of freedom vanish and show that $\tau=0$. Note that the mean moment becomes the value of τ for a 0 dimensional simplex $\triangle_0$, namely, a vertex, of K. The first set of degrees of freedom implies that $\tau\nu=0$ on ∂K while the second set of degrees of freedom shows $\operatorname{div}\tau=0$. Then the third set of degrees of freedom proves that $\tau=0$. Next we shall prove that the sum of these degrees of freedom is equal to the dimension of the space $P_2^*(K,\mathbb{S})$. In fact the sum of the first set of degrees of freedom is

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1}C_{n+1}^{\ell+1}\frac{(n-\ell)(n+\ell+1)}{2}C_n^\ell,$$

we refer interested readers to [26, Theorem 2.1] for a detailed proof of the numbers of degrees of freedom in the first set. By the Chu-Vandermonde combinatorial identity (2.13) and its variant (2.14), see more details from [26],

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1}C_{n+1}^{\ell+1}\frac{(n-\ell)(n+\ell+1)}{2}C_n^\ell=\frac{(2n+1)!}{n!(n+1)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-\frac{(2n-1)!}{n!(n-1)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Hence the desired result follows from (3.4), and the sum of the second and third sets of degrees of freedom. ∎

Then we define

$$\Sigma_{2,h}^* := \{\tau \in H(\mathrm{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}), \tau|_K \in P_2^*(K;\mathbb{S}) \text{ for any } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}. \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.1. *For $n = 2$, we recover the lowest order element in* [10]*; for $n = 3$ we obtain the lowest order element in* [2]*, see also* [6].

To define a family of first order mixed elements, we need a family of simplified lowest order mixed elements, which is defined by

$$\hat{P}_2^*(K;\mathbb{S}) := \{\tau \in P_2^*(K;\mathbb{S}), \mathrm{div}\,\tau \in R(K)\}. \tag{3.10}$$

The dimension of $\hat{P}_2^*(K;\mathbb{S})$ is

$$\frac{(2n+1)!}{n!(n+1)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\frac{(2n)!}{n!n!} + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

A complete set of degrees of freedom for $\hat{P}_2^*(K;\mathbb{S})$ is obtained by removing the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ average values over K for $P_2^*(K;\mathbb{S})$. Then we define

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{2,h}^* := \{\tau \in H(\mathrm{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}), \tau|_K \in \hat{P}_2^*(K;\mathbb{S}) \text{ for any } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}. \tag{3.11}$$

Remark 3.2. *For $n = 2, 3$, we recover the simplified lowest order elements in* [10] *and* [6]*, respectively.*

3.2. **Higher order auxiliary mixed elements.** To define auxiliary mixed elements of order $k > 2$, we introduce the following divergence free space for element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$,

$$\Sigma_{k+1\to k+n-1,DF}(K;\mathbb{S}) := \{\tau \in P_{k+n-1}(K;\mathbb{S})\backslash P_k(K;\mathbb{S}), \mathrm{div}\,\tau = 0\}. \tag{3.12}$$

Since the dimension of the space $P_{k+n-2}(K;\mathbb{R})\backslash P_{k-1}(K;\mathbb{R})$ is

$$\frac{((k+2n-2))!}{n!(k+n-2)!} - \frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!},$$

the number of the divergence free constraints is

$$n\left(\frac{((k+2n-2))!}{n!(k+n-2)!} - \frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\right).$$

In addition, the dimension of the space $P_{k+n-1}(K;\mathbb{S})\backslash P_k(K;\mathbb{S})$ is

$$\left(\frac{(k+2n-1)!}{n!(k+n-1)!} - \frac{(n+k)!}{k!n!}\right)\frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

It follows that the dimension of the space $\Sigma_{k+1\to k+n-1,DF}(K;\mathbb{S})$ is

$$\left(\frac{(k+2n-1)!}{n!(k+n-1)!} - \frac{(n+k)!}{k!n!}\right)\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\left(\frac{((k+2n-2))!}{n!(k+n-2)!} - \frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\right). \tag{3.13}$$

Define the following enriched $P_k(K;\mathbb{S})$ space

$$P_k^*(K;\mathbb{S}) := P_k(K;\mathbb{S}) + \Sigma_{k+1\to k+n-1,DF}(K;\mathbb{S}). \tag{3.14}$$

It follows that the dimension of $P_k^*(K;\mathbb{S})$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{the dimension of } P_k(K;\mathbb{S}) + \text{ the dimension of } \Sigma_{k+1\to k+n-1,DF}(K;\mathbb{S}) \\ &= \frac{(k+2n-1)!}{n!(k+n-1)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\left(\frac{(k+2n-2)!}{n!(k+n-2)!} - \frac{(n+k-1)!}{n!(k-1)!}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

To present the degrees of freedom of $P_k^*(K;\mathbb{S})$, we define

$$M_k(K) := \{\tau \in P_k^*(K;\mathbb{S}), \operatorname{div}\tau = 0 \text{ and } \tau\nu|_{\partial K} = 0\}, \tag{3.16}$$

where ν is the normal vector of ∂K. For the space $M_k(K)$, we have the following important result.

Lemma 3.2. *The dimension of $M_k(K)$ is*

$$\frac{(k+2n-3)!}{n!(k+n-3)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\frac{(k+2n-2)!}{n!(k+n-2)!}. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. The dimension of the space $\Sigma_{K,k+n-1,b}$ reads

$$\frac{(k+2n-3)!}{n!(k+n-3)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2}. \tag{3.18}$$

Since the dimension of $R(K)$ is $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, the dimension of $R^\perp(K)$ (with respect to $P_{k+n-2}(K;\mathbb{R}^n)$) is

$$n\frac{(k+2n-2)!}{n!(k+n-2)!} - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}. \tag{3.19}$$

It follows from the definition of $P_k^*(K;\mathbb{S})$ and Lemma 2.2 that $M_k(K)$ contains all divergence free tensor-value functions of $\Sigma_{K,k+n-1,b}$. Then the desired result follows from Lemma 2.3. ∎

Theorem 3.2. *A matrix field $\tau \in P_k^*(K;\mathbb{S})$ can be uniquely determined by the following degrees of freedom:*

1. *For each ℓ dimensional simplex $\triangle_\ell$ of K, $0 \le \ell \le n-1$, with ℓ linearly independent tangential vectors $\mathbf{t}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{t}_\ell$, and $n-\ell$ linearly independent normal vectors $\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_{n-\ell}$, the mean moments of degree at most $k+n-\ell-2$ over $\triangle_\ell$, of $\mathbf{t}_l^T\tau\nu_i$, $\nu_i^T\tau\nu_j$, $l = 1, \cdots, \ell$, $i, j = 1, \cdots, n-\ell$, $\big(C_{n+1-\ell}^2 + \ell(n-\ell)\big)C_{k+n-2}^\ell = \frac{(n-\ell)(n+\ell+1)}{2}C_{k+n-2}^\ell$ degrees of freedom for each $\triangle_\ell$;*
2. *the values $\int_K \tau : \theta d\mathbf{x}$ for any $\theta \in \epsilon(P_{k-1}(K;\mathbb{R}^n))$, $nC_{n+k-1}^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ degrees of freedom;*
3. *the values $\int_K \tau : \theta d\mathbf{x}$ for any $\theta \in M_k(K)$, $\frac{(k+2n-3)!}{n!(k+n-3)!}\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\frac{(k+2n-2)!}{n!(k+n-2)!}$ degrees of freedom*

Proof. We assume that all degrees of freedom vanish and show that $\tau = 0$. Note that the mean moment become the value of τ for a 0 dimensional simplex $\triangle_0$, namely, a vertex, of K. The first set of degrees of freedom implies that $\tau\nu = 0$ on ∂K while the second set of degrees of freedom shows $\operatorname{div}\tau = 0$. Then the third set of degrees of freedom proves that $\tau = 0$.

Next we shall prove that the sum of these degrees of freedom is equal to the dimension of the space $P_k^*(K;\mathbb{S})$. In fact, it follows from the Chu-Vandermonde combinatorial identity (2.13) and its variant (2.14) that the number of degrees in the first set is

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} C_{n+1}^{\ell+1}\frac{(n-\ell)(n+\ell+1)}{2}C_{n+k-2}^\ell = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}(C_{k+2n-1}^n - C_{k+2n-3}^n), \tag{3.20}$$

we refer interested readers to [26, Theorem 2.1] for a detailed proof of the numbers of degrees of freedom in the first set. The desired result follows from (3.15) and (3.17). ∎

Then we define

$$\Sigma_{k,h}^* := \{\tau \in H(\mathrm{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}), \tau|_K \in P_k^*(K; \mathbb{S}) \text{ for any } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}. \tag{3.21}$$

Remark 3.3. *For $n = 2$, we recover the higher order elements in* [10]*; for $n = 3$ we obtain the higher order elements in* [6].

4. A family of lower order mixed elements

4.1. **Mixed methods.** We propose to use the spaces $\Sigma_{k,h}$, with $2 \le k \le n$, defined in (2.1) to approximate Σ. In order to get a stable pair of spaces, we take the discrete displacement space as the full C^{-1}-P_{k-1} space

$$V_{k,h} := \{v \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n),\ v|_K \in P_{k-1}(K; \mathbb{R}^n) \ \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Unfortunately, we can not establish the stability of the pair of spaces $\Sigma_{k,h}$ and $V_{k,h}$. We propose to enrich $\Sigma_{k,h}$ by some $n-1$ dimensional simplex bubble function spaces. Given a $n-1$ dimensional simplex F of $\mathcal{T}_h$, let $\omega_F := K^- \cup K^+$ denote the union of two elements that share F. Define

(4.2)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}_F^1 := \Big\{ & \tau \in \Sigma_{2,h}^*, \tau = 0 \text{ on } \Omega\backslash\omega_F, \int_F \tau\nu \cdot p ds = 0 \text{ for any } p \in \big(R(\omega_F)|_F\big)^\perp, \\ & \text{the averages of } \tau \text{ over both } K^- \text{ and } K^+ \text{ vanish }, \\ & \text{the values of } \int_K \tau : \theta d\mathbf{x} \text{ vanish for any } \theta \in M_2(K), K = K^- \text{ and } K^+ \Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Here ν is the normal vector of F, and $\big(R(\omega_F)|_F\big)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of the restriction $R(\omega_F)|_F$ on F of $R(\omega_F)$ with respect to the L^2 inner product over F. We also need a subspace of $\mathbb{B}_F^1$ defined by

$$\mathbb{B}_F^2 := \{\tau \in \mathbb{B}_F^1, \int_F \tau\nu \cdot p ds = 0 \text{ for any } p \in P_0(F, \mathbb{R}^n)\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Hence we define the following enriched stress space

$$\Sigma_{k,h}^+ = \Sigma_{k,h} + \sum_F \mathbb{B}_F^1 \text{ for } 2 \le k \le n-1; \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\Sigma_{k,h}^+ = \Sigma_{k,h} + \sum_F \mathbb{B}_F^2 \text{ for } k = n. \tag{4.5}$$

Lemma 4.1. *The space $\Sigma_{k,h}^+$ is a direct sum of the spaces $\Sigma_{k,h}$ and $\sum_F \mathbb{B}_F^1$ for $2 \le k \le n-1$; is a direct sum of the spaces $\Sigma_{k,h}$ and $\sum_F \mathbb{B}_F^2$ for $k = n$.*

Proof. We first prove the first part of the theorem. It suffices to show that, given $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, assume the following degrees of freedom vanish for $\tau \in P_k(K, \mathbb{S})$ with $2 \le k \le n-1$, then $\tau\nu = 0$ on ∂K where ν is the normal vector of ∂K.

- For each ℓ dimensional simplex $\triangle_\ell$ of K, $0 \le \ell \le n-2$, with ℓ linearly independent tangential vectors $\mathbf{t}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{t}_\ell$, and $n-\ell$ linearly independent normal vectors $\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_{n-\ell}$, the mean moments of degree at most $n-\ell$ over $\triangle_\ell$, of $\mathbf{t}_l^T \tau \nu_i$, $\nu_i^T \tau \nu_j$, $l = 1, \cdots, \ell$, $i, j = 1, \cdots, n-\ell$, $\big(C_{n+1-\ell}^2 + \ell(n-\ell)\big) C_n^\ell = \frac{(n-\ell)(n+\ell+1)}{2} C_n^\ell$ degrees of freedom for each $\triangle_\ell$;

In fact, it follows from [26, Theorem 2.1] that such a set of degrees of freedom indicates the $\tau\nu = 0$ on ∂K.

Next we turn to the second part of the theorem. For this case, if the above set of degrees of freedom and the following set of degrees of freedom

- the average moment of degree zero of $\tau\nu$ for any $n-1$ dimensional simplex $\triangle_{n-1}$ of K with the normal vector

vanish, we have $\tau\nu = 0$ on ∂K, see [26, Theorem 2.1] for more details. This completes the proof. ∎

It follows from the definition of $V_{k,h}$ (P_{k-1} polynomials) and $\Sigma_{k,h}^+$ (enriched P_k polynomials) that

$$\operatorname{div} \Sigma_{k,h}^+ \subset V_{k,h}.$$

This, in turn, leads to a strong divergence-free space:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_h &:= \{\tau_h \in \Sigma_{k,h}^+ \mid (\operatorname{div} \tau_h, v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in V_{k,h}\} \\ &= \{\tau_h \in \Sigma_{k,h}^+ \mid \operatorname{div} \tau_h = 0 \text{ pointwise }\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

The mixed finite element approximation of Problem (1.1) reads: Find $(\sigma_h,\ u_h) \in \Sigma_{k,h}^+ \times V_{k,h}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (A\sigma_h, \tau) + (\operatorname{div}\tau, u_h) = 0 & \text{for all } \ \tau \in \Sigma_{k,h}^+, \\ (\operatorname{div} \sigma_h, v) = (f, v) & \text{for all } \ v \in V_{k,h}. \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

4.2. **Stability analysis and error estimates.** The convergence of the finite element solution follows the stability and the standard approximation property. So we consider first the well-posedness of the discrete problem (4.7). By the standard theory, we only need to prove the following two conditions, based on their counterpart at the continuous level.

(1) K-ellipticity. There exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of the meshsize h such that

$$(A\tau, \tau) \geq C\|\tau\|^2_{H(\operatorname{div})} \quad \text{for all } \tau \in Z_h, \tag{4.8}$$

where Z_h is the divergence-free space defined in (4.6).

(2) Discrete B-B condition. There exists a positive constant $C > 0$ independent of the meshsize h, such that

$$\inf_{0 \neq v \in V_{k,h}} \sup_{0 \neq \tau \in \Sigma_{k,h}^+} \frac{(\operatorname{div}\tau, v)}{\|\tau\|_{H(\operatorname{div})}\|v\|_0} \geq C. \tag{4.9}$$

It follows from $\operatorname{div} \Sigma_{k,h}^+ \subset V_{k,h}$ that $\operatorname{div} \tau = 0$ for any $\tau \in Z_h$. This implies the above K-ellipticity condition (4.8). It remains to show the discrete B-B condition (4.9), in the following two lemmas.

For the analysis, we need a subspace $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{k,h} := \Sigma_{k,h} \cap H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S})$ of $\Sigma_{k,h}$. For $\tau \in \widetilde{\Sigma}_{k,h}$, the degrees of freedom on any element K are: for each ℓ dimensional simplex $\triangle_\ell$ of K, $0 \leq \ell \leq n$, the mean moments of degree at most $k-\ell-1$ over $\triangle_\ell$, of τ. A standard argument is able to prove that these degrees of freedom are unisolvent.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $v_h \in V_{k,h}$, there is a $\tau_h \in \widetilde{\Sigma}_{k,h} + \sum_F \mathbb{B}_F^1$ with $2 \le k \le n-1$ such that, for all polynomial $p \in R(K)$, $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$,*

$$\int_K (\operatorname{div} \tau_h - v_h) \cdot p \, d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tau_h\|_{H(\operatorname{div})} \le C \|v_h\|_0. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. Let $v_h \in V_{k,h}$. By the stability of the continuous formulation, cf. [10] for two dimensional case, there is a $\tau \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$ such that,

$$\operatorname{div} \tau = v_h \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tau\|_1 \le C \|v_h\|_0.$$

In this paper, we only consider the domain such that the above stability holds. We refer interested authors to [21] for the classical result which states it is true for Lipschitz domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$; see [20] for more refined results. First let I_h be a Scott-Zhang [35] interpolation operator such that

$$\|\tau - I_h \tau\|_0 + h \|\nabla I_h \tau\|_0 \le C h \|\nabla \tau\|_0. \tag{4.11}$$

These enriched bubble functions in $\sum_F \mathbb{B}_F^1$ on the $n-1$ dimensional simplices F allow for defining a correction $\delta_h \in \sum_F \mathbb{B}_F^1$ such that

$$\int_F \delta_h \nu \cdot p d\mathbf{s} = \int_F (\tau - I_h \tau) \nu \cdot p d\mathbf{s} \text{ for any } p \in R(K)|_F. \tag{4.12}$$

Finally we take

$$\tau_h = I_h \tau + \delta_h. \tag{4.13}$$

We get a partial-divergence matching property of τ_h: for any $p \in R(K)$, as the symmetric gradient $\epsilon(p) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K (\operatorname{div} \tau_h - v_h) \cdot p \, d\mathbf{x} &= \int_K (\operatorname{div} \tau_h - \operatorname{div} \tau) \cdot p \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\partial K} (\tau_h - \tau) \nu \cdot p \, d\mathbf{s} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The stability estimate follows from (4.11) and the definition of the correction δ_h. ∎

Remark 4.1. *A modification of the above proof applies for the case where $k = n$. In fact, the bubble functions in the spaces $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{k,h}$ and $\sum_F \mathbb{B}_F^2$ on the $n-1$ dimensional simplices F are able to control the constant subspace of $R(K)$ and its orthogonal complement, respectively.*

We are in the position to show the well-posedness of the discrete problem.

Theorem 4.1. *For the discrete problem (4.7), the K-ellipticity* (4.8) *and the discrete B-B condition* (4.9) *hold uniformly. Consequently, the discrete mixed problem* (4.7) *has a unique solution $(\sigma_h,\ u_h) \in \Sigma_{k,h}^+ \times V_{k,h}$.*

Proof. The K-ellipticity immediately follows from the fact that $\operatorname{div} \Sigma_{k,h}^+ \subset V_{k,h}$. To prove the discrete B-B condition (4.9), for any $v_h \in V_{k,h}$, it follows from Lemma 4.2 and Remark 4.1 that there exists a $\tau_1 \in \Sigma_{k,h}^+$ such that, for any polynomial $p \in R(K)$,

$$\int_K (\operatorname{div} \tau_1 - v_h) \cdot p d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tau_1\|_{H(\operatorname{div})} \le C \|v_h\|_0. \tag{4.14}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there is a $\tau_2 \in \Sigma_{k,h}$ such that $\tau_2|_K \in \Sigma_{K,k,b}$ and

$$\operatorname{div}\tau_2 = v_h - \operatorname{div}\tau_1, \|\tau_2\|_0 = \min\{\|\tau\|_0, \operatorname{div}\tau = v_h - \operatorname{div}\tau_1, \tau \in \Sigma_{K,k,b}\} \tag{4.15}$$

It follows from the definition of τ_2 that $\|\operatorname{div}\tau_2\|_0$ defines a norm for it. Then, a scaling argument proves

$$\|\tau_2\|_{H(\operatorname{div})} \le C\|\operatorname{div}\tau_1 - v_h\|_0. \tag{4.16}$$

Let $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2$. This implies that

$$\operatorname{div}\tau = v_h \text{ and } \|\tau\|_{H(\operatorname{div})} \le C\|v_h\|_0, \tag{4.17}$$

this proves the discrete B-B condition (4.9). ∎

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(\sigma, u) \in \Sigma \times V$ be the exact solution of problem (1.1) and $(\tau_h, u_h) \in \Sigma_{k,h}^+ \times V_{k,h}$ the finite element solution of (4.7). Then, for $2 \le k \le n$,*

$$\|\sigma - \sigma_h\|_{H(\operatorname{div})} + \|u - u_h\|_0 \le Ch^k(\|\sigma\|_{k+1} + \|u\|_k). \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. The stability of the elements and the standard theory of mixed finite element methods [14, 15] give the following quasioptimal error estimate immediately

$$\|\sigma - \sigma_h\|_{H(\operatorname{div})} + \|u - u_h\|_0 \le C \inf_{\tau_h \in \Sigma_{k,h}^+, v_h \in V_{k,h}} \left(\|\sigma - \tau_h\|_{H(\operatorname{div})} + \|u - v_h\|_0\right). \tag{4.19}$$

Let P_h denote the local L^2 projection operator, or element-wise interpolation operator, from V to $V_{k,h}$, satisfying the error estimate

$$\|v - P_h v\|_0 \le Ch^k\|v\|_k \text{ for any } v \in H^k(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n). \tag{4.20}$$

Choosing $\tau_h = I_h\sigma \in \Sigma_{k,h}$ where I_h is defined in (4.11) as I_h preserves symmetric P_k functions locally,

$$\|\sigma - \tau_h\|_0 + h|\sigma - \tau_h|_{H(\operatorname{div})} \le Ch^{k+1}\|\sigma\|_{k+1}. \tag{4.21}$$

Let $v_h = P_h v$ and $\tau_h = I_h\sigma$ in (4.19), by (4.20) and (4.21), we obtain (4.18). ∎

Remark 4.2. *To prove an optimal error estimate for the stress in the L^2 norm, we can follow the idea from* [36] *to use a mesh dependent norm technique. In particular, this will lead to*

$$\|\sigma - \sigma_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le Ch^{k+1}|\sigma|_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)}.$$

5. First order mixed elements

In order to get first order mixed elements, we propose to take the following discrete displacement space

$$V_{1,h} := \{v \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n),\ v|_K \in R(K) \text{ for any } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}. \tag{5.1}$$

To design the space for the stress, we define

$$\Sigma_{1,h} := \{\tau \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}), \tau|_K \in P_1(K, \mathbb{S}) \text{ for any } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Since the pair $(\Sigma_{1,h}, V_{1,h})$ is unstable, we propose to enrich $\Sigma_{1,h}$ by some $n-1$ dimensional simplex bubble function spaces. Given a $n-1$ dimensional simplex F of $\mathcal{T}_h$, let $\omega_F := K^- \cup K^+$ denote the union of two elements that share F. Define

(5.3)
$$\hat{\mathbb{B}}_F := \Big\{ \tau \in \hat{\Sigma}^*_{2,h}, \tau = 0 \text{ on } \Omega\backslash\omega_F, \int_F \tau\nu \cdot p ds = 0 \text{ for any } p \in \big(R(\omega_F)|_F\big)^\perp,$$
$$\text{the values of } \int_K \tau : \theta d\mathbf{x} \text{ vanish for any } \theta \in M_2(K), K = K^- \text{ and } K^+ \Big\}.$$

This allows for defining the following enriched stress space

$$\hat{\Sigma}^+_{1,h} = \Sigma_{1,h} + \sum_F \hat{\mathbb{B}}_F. \tag{5.4}$$

For this enriched space $\hat{\Sigma}^+_{1,h}$, the number of degrees of freedom on each simplex is 18 and 48 for $n = 2, 3$, respectively, which are the simplest conforming mixed elements so far. A similar argument of Lemma 4.1 shows that $\hat{\Sigma}^+_{1,h}$ is a direct sum of $\Sigma_{1,h}$ and $\sum_F \hat{\mathbb{B}}_F$.

The mixed finite element approximation of Problem (1.1) reads: Find $(\sigma_h,\ u_h) \in \hat{\Sigma}^+_{1,h} \times V_{1,h}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (A\sigma_h, \tau) + (\mathrm{div}\tau, u_h) = 0 & \text{for all } \ \tau \in \hat{\Sigma}^+_{1,h}, \\ (\mathrm{div}\,\sigma_h, v) = (f, v) & \text{for all } \ v \in V_{1,h}. \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

It follows from $\mathrm{div}\,\hat{\Sigma}^+_{1,h} \subset V_{1,h}$ that $\mathrm{div}\,\tau = 0$ for any $\tau \in Z_h$, which implies the above K-ellipticity condition (4.8). A similar proof of Lemma 4.2 shows the discrete inf–Sup condition 4.9. In particular, there exists an interpolation operator $I_h : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}) \to \hat{\Sigma}^+_{1,h}$ such that

$$\|\tau - I_h\tau\|_0 + h\|\,\mathrm{div}(\tau - I_h\tau)\| \le h^k\|\tau\|_k, k = 1, 2, \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\int_K \mathrm{div}(\tau - I_h\tau) : p d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial K} (\tau - I_h\tau)\nu \cdot p ds = 0 \text{ for any } p \in R(K) \tag{5.7}$$

for any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. A summary of these results leads to the error estimates in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(\sigma, u) \in \Sigma \times V$ be the exact solution of problem* (1.1) *and $(\tau_h, u_h) \in \hat{\Sigma}^+_{1,h} \times V_{1,h}$ the finite element solution of* (5.5)*. Then,*

$$\|\sigma - \sigma_h\|_{H(\mathrm{div})} + \|u - u_h\|_0 \le Ch(\|\,\mathrm{div}\,\sigma\|_1 + \|u\|_1), \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\|\sigma - \sigma_h\|_0 \le Ch^2\|\sigma\|_2. \tag{5.9}$$

6. The added face bubble functions in three dimensions

Let $F := \triangle_2\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_3$ be a face of element $K := \triangle_3\mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_3$, we construct the added face bubble functions. We have three face bubble functions of the Lagrange element of order 4:

$$\varphi_{i,F} = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3(\lambda_i - \frac{1}{4}), i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{6.1}$$

Note that $\varphi_{i,F}$ vanish on face F' other than F of K.

Let $\mathbf{t}_{i,F}$, $i=1,2,3$, be unit tangential vectors of three edges of F. Let

$$T_{i,F} = \mathbf{t}_{i,F}\mathbf{t}_{i,F}^T, i=1,2,3. \tag{6.2}$$

Define $T_{j,F}^{\perp}, j=1,2,3$ such that

$$T_{i,F} : T_{j,F}^{\perp} = 0, T_{j,F}^{\perp} : T_{l,F}^{\perp} = \delta_{jl}, i,j,l = 1,2,3. \tag{6.3}$$

This allows the definition of the following space

$$\Sigma_{F,b} := \text{span}\{\varphi_{i,F}T_{j,F}^{\perp}, i,j=1,2,3\}. \tag{6.4}$$

On the face F, we have

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2}(x_{i,j}-x_{i,3})\lambda_j + x_{i,3}, i=1,2,3, \tag{6.5}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i,0}, x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, x_{i,3})$, $i=0,1,2,3$. We need a basis of the restriction of the rigid motion space on the face F:

$$v_{1,F} = \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, v_{2,F} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, v_{3,F} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$v_{4,F} = \begin{pmatrix}(x_2-x_{2,F})\\-(x_1-x_{1,F})\\0\end{pmatrix}, v_{5,F} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\(x_3-x_{3,F})\\-(x_2-x_{2,F})\end{pmatrix}, v_{6,F} = \begin{pmatrix}(x_3-x_{3,F})\\0\\-(x_1-x_{1,F})\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.7}$$

Here $\mathbf{x}_F = (x_{1,F}, x_{2,F}, x_{3,F})$ is the center of F. Define the basis $v_{i,F}^{\perp}$, $i=1,2,3$, of the orthogonal complement space of the restriction of the rigid motion space on the face F with respect to $P_1(F,\mathbb{R}^3)$, such that

$$\int_F v_{i,F}^{\perp}\cdot v_{j,F} ds = 0, i=1,2,3, j=1,\cdots,6. \tag{6.8}$$

Then we define $\tau_{i,F}^* \in \Sigma_{F,b}$, $i=1,\cdots,6$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|F|}\int_F \tau_{i,F}^*\nu_F\cdot v_{j,F}ds = \delta_{i,j}, j=1,\cdots,6, \text{ and } \int_F \tau_{i,F}^*\nu_F\cdot v_{k,F}^{\perp}ds = 0, k=1,2,3. \tag{6.9}$$

Finally, we take $\delta_{i,F} \in \Sigma_{K,4,b}$ such that $\text{div}\,\tau_{i,F} = \text{div}(\tau_{i,F}^* + \delta_{i,F}) \in P_1(K,\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then

$$\mathbb{B}_F^1 = \text{span}\{\tau_{i,F}, i=1,\cdots,6\} \text{ and } \mathbb{B}_F^2 = \text{span}\{\tau_{i,F}, i=4,5,6\}. \tag{6.10}$$

Example Let $F = \triangle\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_3$ with $\mathbf{x}_1 = (0,0,0)^T$, $\mathbf{x}_2 = (1,0,0)^T$, and $\mathbf{x}_3 = (0,1,0)^T$ and $\nu_F = (0,0,1)^T$. We have

$$T_{1,F} = \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, T_{2,F} = \begin{pmatrix}1&-1&0\\-1&1&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, T_{3,F} = \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.11}$$

This implies that

$$T_{1,F}^{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}, T_{2,F}^{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix}0&&1\\0&0&0\\1&0&0\end{pmatrix}, T_{3,F}^{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&1&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.12}$$

In addition, a basis of the restriction of the rigid motion space on the face F reads

$$v_{1,F} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_{2,F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_{3,F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.13}$$

and

$$v_{4,F} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_2 - \frac{1}{3}) \\ -(x_1 - \frac{1}{3}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_{5,F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -(x_2 - \frac{1}{3}) \end{pmatrix}, v_{6,F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -(x_1 - \frac{1}{3}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.14}$$

Hence

$$v_{1,F}^{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_1 - \frac{1}{3}) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_{2,F}^{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (x_2 - \frac{1}{3}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_{3,F}^{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_2 - \frac{1}{3}) \\ (x_1 - \frac{1}{3}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.15}$$

7. Numerical test

We compute a 2D pure displacement problem on the unit square $\Omega = [0,1]^2$ with a homogeneous boundary condition that $u \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. In the computation, we let the compliance tensor in (1.1)

$$A\sigma = \frac{1}{2\mu}\left(\sigma - \frac{\lambda}{2\mu + n\lambda}\operatorname{tr}(\sigma)\delta\right), \quad n = 2,$$

where $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mu = 1/2$ and $\lambda = 1$ are the Lamé constants. Let the exact solution be

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} e^{x-y}x(1-x)y(1-y) \\ \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.1}$$

The true stress function σ and the load function f are defined by the equations in (1.1), for the given solution u.

In the computation, the level one grid consists of two right triangles, obtained by cutting the unit square with a north-east line. Each grid is refined into a half-sized grid uniformly, to get a higher level grid. In all the computation, the discrete systems of equations are solved by Matlab backslash solver.

We use the bubble enriched P_2 symmetric stress finite element with P_1 discontinuous displacement finite element, $k = 2$ in (4.1) and in (4.5), and $k = 2$ in (2.1). That is, 3 P_3 bubbles are enriched each edge. In Table 7.1, the errors and the convergence order in various norms are listed for the true solution (7.1). The optimal order of convergence is observed for both displacement and stress, see Table 7.1, as shown in the theorem.

As a comparison, we also test the Arnold–Winther element from [10], which has a same degree of freedom as ours, 21, on each element. But the displacement in that element is approximated by the rigid-motion space only, instead of the full P_1 space, i.e., 3 dof vs 6 dof on each triangle. The total degrees of freedom for the stress for the new element are $3|\mathbb{V}| + 3|\mathbb{E}| + 3|\mathbb{K}|$, where $|\mathbb{V}|$, $|\mathbb{E}|$, and $|\mathbb{K}|$ are the numbers of vertices, edges and elements of $\mathcal{T}_h$, respectively, while those for the Arnold–Winther element are $3|\mathbb{V}| + 4|\mathbb{E}|$. Since the three bubble functions on each element can be easily condensed, these two elements almost have the same complexity for solving. The errors and the orders of convergence are listed in Table 7.2. Because the new element uses the full P_1 displacement space, the order of convergence is one higher

TABLE 7.1. The errors, $\epsilon_h = \sigma - \sigma_h$, and the order of convergence, by the 2D $k = 2$ element in (4.5) and (4.1), for (7.1).

	$\|u - u_h\|_0$	h^n	$\|\epsilon_h\|_0$	h^n	$\|\operatorname{div} \epsilon_h\|_0$	h^n
1	0.27452	0.0	1.24637	0.0	6.97007772	0.0
2	0.07432	1.9	0.18054	2.8	2.13781130	1.7
3	0.01959	1.9	0.02429	2.9	0.57734125	1.9
4	0.00497	2.0	0.00314	2.9	0.14709450	2.0
5	0.00125	2.0	0.00040	3.0	0.03694721	2.0

than that of the Arnold–Winther element. Also as the new element includes the full P_2 stress space, the order of convergence of stress is one order higher, see the data in Tables 7.1 and 7.2.

TABLE 7.2. The errors, $\epsilon_h = \sigma - \sigma_h$, and the order of convergence, by the Arnold-Winther 21/3 element[10], for (7.1).

	$\|u - u_h\|_0$	h^n	$\|\epsilon_h\|_0$	h^n	$\|\operatorname{div} \epsilon_h\|_0$	h^n
1	0.30554	0.0	1.58058	0.0	10.31991249	0.0
2	0.22589	0.4	0.89927	0.8	6.81340378	0.6
3	0.10922	1.0	0.25584	1.8	3.61633797	0.9
4	0.05354	1.0	0.06633	1.9	1.83690959	1.0
5	0.02661	1.0	0.01674	2.0	0.92212628	1.0

REFERENCES

[1] R. A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, New York: Academic Press, 1975.

[2] S. Adams and B. Cockburn, A mixed finite element method for elasticity in three dimensions, J. Sci. Comput. 25 (2005), 515–521.

[3] M. Amara and J. M. Thomas, Equilibrium finite elements for the linear elastic problem, Numer. Math. 33 (1979), 367–383.

[4] D. N. Arnold, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I: Plenary Lectures and Ceremonies (2002), 137-157.

[5] D. N. Arnold and G. Awanou, Rectangular mixed finite elements for elasticity, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 15 (2005), 1417–1429.

[6] D. Arnold, G. Awanou and R. Winther, Finite elements for symmetric tensors in three dimensions, Math. Comp. 77 (2008), 1229–1251.

[7] D. N. Arnold, F. Brezzi and J. Douglas, Jr., PEERS: A new mixed finite element for plane elasticity, Jpn. J. Appl. Math. 1 (1984), 347–367.

[8] D. N. Arnold, J. Douglas Jr., and C. P. Gupta, A family of higher order mixed finite element methods for plane elasticity, Numer. Math. 45 (1984), 1–22.

[9] D. N. Arnold, R. Falk and R. Winther, Mixed finite element methods for linear elasticity with weakly imposed symmetry, Math. Comp. 76 (2007), 1699–1723.

[10] D. N. Arnold and R. Winther, Mixed finite element for elasticity, Numer. Math. 92 (2002), 401–419.

[11] D. N. Arnold and R. Winther, Nonconforming mixed elements for elasticity, Math. Models. Methods Appl. Sci. 13 (2003), 295–307.

[12] G. Awanou, Two remarks on rectangular mixed finite elements for elasticity, J. Sci. Comput. 50 (2012), 91–102.

[13] D. Boffi, F. Brezzi and M. Fortin, Reduced symmetry elements in linear elasticity, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 8 (2009), 95–121.

[14] F. Brezzi, On the existence, uniqueness and approximation of saddle-point problems arising from Lagrangian multipliers, Rev. Francaise Automat. Informat. Recherche Operationnelle Ser. Rouge, 8(R-2) (1974), 129–151.
[15] F. Brezzi and M. Fortin, Mixed and hybrid finite element methods, Springer, 1991.
[16] C. Carstensen, M. Eigel, J. Gedicke, Computational competition of symmetric mixed FEM in linear elasticity, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 200 (2011), 2903–2915.
[17] C. Carstensen, D. Günther, J. Reininghaus, J. Thiele, The Arnold–Winther mixed FEM in linear elasticity. Part I: Implementation and numerical verification, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 197 (2008), 3014–3023.
[18] S. C. Chen and Y. N. Wang, Conforming rectangular mixed finite elements for elasticity, J. Sci. Comput. 47 (2011), 93–108.
[19] B. Cockburn, J. Gopalakrishnan and J. Guzmán, A new elasticity element made for enforcing weak stress symmetry, Math. Comp. 79 (2010), 1331–1349.
[20] R. G. Durán and M. A. Muschietti, An explicit right inverse of the divergence operator which is continuous in weighted norms, Studia Mathematica,148(2001), 207–219.
[21] V. Girault, P. A. Raviart, Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes equations, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
[22] J. Gopalakrishnan and J. Guzmán, Symmetric nonconforming mixed finite elements for linear elasticity, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 49 (2011), 1504–1520.
[23] J. Gopalakrishnan and J. Guzmán, A second elasticity element using the matrix bubble, IMA J. Numer. Anal. 32 (2012), 352–372.
[24] J. Guzmán, A unified analysis of several mixed methods for elasticity with weak stress symmetry, J. Sci. Comput. 44 (2010), 156–169.
[25] J. Hu, A new family of efficient conforming mixed elements on both rectangular and cuboid meshes for linear elasticity in the symmetric formulation, arXiv:1311.4718v3 [math.NA], 17 Dec 2013.
[26] J. Hu, Finite element approximations of symmetric tensors on simplicial grids in $\mathbb{R}^n$: the higher order case, arXiv:1409.7744v2 [math.NA], 2014.
[27] J. Hu and Z. C. Shi, Lower order rectangular nonconforming mixed elements for plane elasticity, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 46 (2007), 88–102.
[28] J. Hu, H. Y. Man and S. Zhang, The minimal mixed finite element method for the symmetric stress field on rectangular grids in any space dimension, arXiv:1304.5428[math.NA] (2013).
[29] J. Hu, H. Y. Man and S. Zhang, A simple conforming mixed finite element for linear elasticity on rectangular grids in any space dimension, J. Sci. Comput. 58(2014), 367–379.
[30] J. Hu and S. Zhang, A family of conforming mixed finite elements for linear elasticity on triangle grids, arXiv:1406.7457v2 [math.NA], 2014.
[31] J. Hu and S. Zhang, A family of conforming mixed finite elements for linear elasticity on tetrahedral grids, Sci. China Math.,58(2015), pp. 297–307; see also arXiv:1407.4190 [math.NA], 2014.
[32] C. Johnson and B. Mercier, Some equilibrium finite element methods for two-dimensional elasticity problems, Numer.Math. 30 (1978), 103–116.
[33] H.-Y. Man, J. Hu and Z.-C. Shi, Lower order rectangular nonconforming mixed finite element for the three-dimensional elasticity problem, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 19 (2009), 51–65.
[34] M. Morley, A family of mixed finite elements for linear elasticity, Numer. Math. 55 (1989), 633–666.
[35] L. R. Scott and S. Zhang, Finite-element interpolation of non-smooth functions satisfying boundary conditions, Math. Comp. 54 (1990), 483–493.
[36] R. Stenberg, On the construction of optimal mixed finite element methods for the linear elasticity problem, Numer. Math. 48 (1986), 447–462.
[37] R. Stenberg, Two low-order mixed methods for the elasticity problem, In: J. R. Whiteman (ed.): The Mathematics of Finite Elements and Applications, VI. London: Academic Press, 1988, 271–280.
[38] R. Stenberg, A family of mixed finite elements for the elasticity problem, Numer. Math. 53 (1988), 513–538.
[39] S. Y. Yi, Nonconforming mixed finite element methods for linear elasticity using rectangular elements in two and three dimensions, CALCOLO 42 (2005), 115–133.

[40] S. Y. Yi, A New nonconforming mixed finite element method for linear elasticity, Math. Models.Methods Appl. Sci. 16 (2006), 979–999.
[41] O. C. Zienkiewicz, R. L. Taylor, and J. Z. Zhu, The Finite Element Method: Its Basis and Fundamentals, 6th ed., vol. 1, Amsterdam–Boston–Heidelberg–London–New York–Oxford–Paris–San Diego–San Francisco–Singapore–Sydney–Tokyo, 2005.

LMAM and School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China. hujun@math.pku.edu.cn

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA. szhang@udel.edu